



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,234	12/16/2003	Nick J. Grivas	IS01164TC	6348
64588 7590 08/11/2009 CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS TEMIC AUTOMOTIVE OF NORTH AMERICA, INC. 21440 WEST LAKE COOK ROAD PATENTS AND LICENSES, 7TH FLOOR DEER PARK, IL 60010				
EXAMINER PHUONG, DAI				
ART UNIT 2617		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 08/11/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/737,234

Applicant(s)

GRIVAS ET AL.

Examiner

DAI A. PHUONG

Art Unit

2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAI A. PHUONG. (3) _____.

(2) Kaczarski, Tomasz. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Holmes and Erfinder.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant argued that the prior arts do not teach telematic related applications including at least on of a noise cancellation application, a routing guidance application and an emergency notification application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrick N. Edouard/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617